ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

Philosophy

Orthodox Universalism: Vasily Zenkovsky's Vision of a Christian Philosophy.

Universalismo ortodoxo: la visión de Vasily Zenkovsky sobre una filosofía cristiana.

DOI: 10.32870/sincronia.v30.n89.e0527

Michael Kuznetsov
V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University.
(UCRANIA)
CE: pwanz87@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3232-1792

Recepción: 07/10/2025 **Revisión**: 17/11/2025 **Aprobación**: 15/12/2025

Cómo citar este artículo (APA):

En párrafo:
(Kuznetsov, 2026, p. _).

En lista de referencias:
Kuznetsov, M. (2026). Orthodox Universalism: Vasily Zenkovsky's Vision of a Christian Philosophy. *Revista Sincronía*. *30*(89). 1-12
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia.v30.n89.e0527

Abstract:
This essay analyzes the life, philosophy, theology, and literary contributions of Vasily Vasilyevich Zenkovsky (1881–1962), a central figure in modern Russian thought. His project of "Christian philosophy" sought to reconcile Kantian rationalism with Orthodox theology through the concept of "Orthodox universalism." Born in Ukraine and marked by the political upheavals of imperial and Soviet Russia, his exile from Kiev to Paris strengthened his resolve to harmonize reason and faith. His philosophical system, based on Kant's epistemology and enriched by sophrology and the patristic teachings of Gregory of Nyssa and Maximus the Confessor, addressed metaphysical, ontological, and psychological dimensions. Through a dialectical method and a holistic synthesis, Zenkovsky offered a way to understand the tensions between the material and the spiritual in modernity. The essay also examines his ecumenical vision, which maintained Orthodox identity while engaging in dialogue with other Christian traditions, and his pedagogical contribution in Child Psychology (1923), where he integrates spiritual development into education. Renowned for his History of Russian Philosophy and his literary studies on Gogol, Pushkin, and Dostoevsky, Zenkovsky established himself as a bridge between Eastern and Western intellectual traditions. His work, marked by exile and cultural dialogue, continues to offer a profound reflection on the unity between reason, faith, and humanity.

Keywords: Vasily Zenkovsky. Russian philosophy. Orthodox theology. Kantian rationalism. Literary criticism. Russian émigré thought. Sophiology.

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

Resumen.
Este ensayo analiza la vida, la filosofía, la teología y las contribuciones literarias de Vasily Vasilyevich Zenkovsky (1881–1962), figura central del pensamiento ruso moderno. Su proyecto de "filosofía cristiana" buscó conciliar el racionalismo kantiano con la teología ortodoxa mediante el concepto de «universalismo ortodoxo». Nacido en Ucrania y marcado por las convulsiones políticas de la Rusia imperial y soviética, su exilio de Kiev a París fortaleció su propósito de armonizar razón y fe. Su sistema filosófico, basado en la epistemología de Kant y enriquecido por la sofología y las enseñanzas patrísticas de Gregorio de Nisa y Máximo el Confesor, abordó dimensiones metafísicas, ontológicas y psicológicas. A través de un método dialéctico y una síntesis holística, Zenkovsky ofreció una vía para comprender las tensiones entre lo material y lo espiritual en la modernidad. El ensayo examina también su visión ecuménica, que mantuvo la identidad ortodoxa mientras dialogaba con otras tradiciones cristianas, y su aporte pedagógico en Psicología de la infancia (1923), donde integra el desarrollo espiritual en la educación. Reconocido por su Historia de la filosofía rusa y sus estudios literarios sobre Gogol, Pushkin y Dostoievski, Zenkovsky se consolidó como un puente entre las tradiciones intelectuales de Oriente y Occidente. Su obra, marcada por el exilio y el diálogo cultural, sigue ofreciendo una reflexión profunda sobre la unidad entre razón, fe y humanidad.

Palabras clave: Vasili Zenkovski. Filosofía rusa. Teología ortodoxa. Racionalismo kantiano. Crítica literaria. Pensamiento de los emigrados rusos. Sofología.

Introducción

Vasily Vasilyevich Zenkovsky occupies a singular position in the landscape of Russian intellectual history, embodying a rare synthesis of rigorous philosophical inquiry and profound theological engagement. His contributions span multiple disciplines philosophy, theology, psychology, and literary criticism — making him a pivotal figure in the development of 20th-century thought. Zenkovsky's seminal work, A History of Russian Philosophy (1948–1950), remains an indispensable resource for understanding the evolution of Russian intellectual traditions (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. vii). His unique approach, which he termed an "experiment in Christian philosophy", sought to harmonize Kantian rationalism with Orthodox Christian theology, demonstrating that faith and reason need not exist in opposition (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 15).

Vasily Zenkovsky's scholarship was deeply rooted in the material conditions of human existence, yet it transcended mere empiricism by engaging with the metaphysical and spiritual dimensions of life. His literary analyses of N. Gogol (1809 -1852), A. Pushkin (1799 -1837), and F. Dostoevsky (1821-1881) revealed how literature serves as a medium for exploring the human soul's relationship with the divine. This essay examines Zenkovsky's life, his philosophical and theological

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

contributions, his literary scholarship, and his enduring influence on both Russian and global thought, highlighting his role as a thinker who navigated the interplay between material reality and spiritual aspiration.

The period from 1861 to 1960 marked a century of profound upheaval for Russia, beginning with the Emancipation Reform of 1861. While the abolition of serfdom was a watershed moment, its implementation was fraught with contradictions. Peasants gained nominal freedom but were burdened with redemption payments and limited access to land, exacerbating rural poverty. The late 19th and early 20th centuries saw a cascade of crises: the humiliating defeat in the Russo-Japanese War (1904–1905), the failed Revolution of 1905, recurring famines, cholera epidemics, and widespread social unrest. These events exposed the fragility of the autocratic system and fueled existential questions about Russia's identity and future.

For the intelligentsia, this era posed a stark dilemma: how to reconcile the competing claims of Athens (reason, progress, Western modernity) and Jerusalem (faith, tradition, Orthodox spirituality). The materialist doctrines of Marx and Engels gained traction among radicals, while others, like Vasily Zenkovsky, sought a middle path one that harmonized Enlightenment rationalism with the moral and metaphysical insights of Christianity.

Zenkovsky, like many intellectuals, initially welcomed the February Revolution of 1917. The Provisional Government's promises of constitutional democracy, civil liberties, and social reform seemed to align with his liberal-monarchist sympathies. Zenkovsky political views mirrored those of the Girondins of the French Revolution moderate reformers who sought to balance order and progress. The slogans of the February Revolution, emphasizing legality and pluralism, appealed to his vision of a Russia guided by reason and moral responsibility.

However, the October Revolution shattered these hopes. To Zenkovsky, the Bolshevik coup was not a liberation but a "revolt of the mob" — a descent into chaos and ideological tyranny. The violent seizure of power, the dissolution of the Constituent Assembly, and the Red Terror alienated him and other liberal thinkers. The Bolsheviks, in his view, were Russia's Jacobins: radicals who sacrificed liberty and tradition on the altar of utopian egalitarianism.

Despite these upheavals, Vasily Zenkovsky belonged to a broader cultural phenomenon known as the "Russian Renaissance" (1860–1960) — a period of extraordinary intellectual and artistic flourishing that persisted even under Soviet repression. This era, spanning the "Golden Age" of

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

Russian literature, philosophy, and theology, was defined by its synthesis of Greco-Roman humanism and Judeo-Christian spirituality. Figures like Dostoevsky, Solovyov, and Bulgakov grappled with the same tensions between faith and reason, tradition and modernity, that preoccupied Zenkovsky.

The "Golden Age" was also a time of dramatic transformation: industrialization, urbanization, and the rise of mass politics. Yet it was equally marked by catastrophe World War I, the Civil War and World War II. For Zenkovsky, this duality underscored the need for a philosophy that could address both material suffering and spiritual yearning. His “experiment in Christian philosophy” sought to provide such a framework, integrating Kant’s epistemology with Orthodox theology to navigate the crises of his time.

Vasily Zenkovsky’s political thought can be likened to the Feuillants of the French Revolution — liberal monarchists who advocated gradual reform rather than radical rupture. His rejection of Bolshevism was rooted in both philosophical and moral grounds:

1. Anti-Materialism: He saw Marxist dialectical materialism as reductive, denying the transcendent dimensions of human existence.
2. Moral Critique: The Bolsheviks’ use of violence and suppression of dissent violated his Christian ethics.
3. Cultural Pessimism: He feared the destruction of Russia’s spiritual heritage under Soviet atheism.

Yet Vasily Zenkovsky was no reactionary. His support for the February Revolution revealed a commitment to liberal values rule of law, education, and social justice but within a framework that respected Russia’s Orthodox roots. This tension mirrored the broader struggle of the intelligentsia to define a “third way” between autocracy and revolution.

A Brief Biography of Vasily Vasilyevich Zenkovsky

Vasily Zenkovsky was born on July 4, 1881, in Proskurov (now Khmelnytskyi, Ukraine). He initially studied natural sciences at Kiev University before switching to history and philology, graduating in 1909 (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 3). During his "Kievan period" (1900–1919), he developed interests in psychology and pedagogy, becoming director of the Kiev Institute of Preschool Education and defending his doctoral dissertation, The Problem of Mental Causation, in 1915. In 1918, he briefly

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia
Revista Sincronía
Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

served as Minister of Religious Affairs under Hetman Skoropadsky's government, a role he later regretted.

Forced to emigrate in 1919, Zenkovsky settled in Yugoslavia, teaching at the University of Belgrade (1920–1923). He later moved to Prague, heading the Department of Experimental and Child Psychology, and in 1927 relocated to Paris, joining the St. Sergius Theological Institute as a professor of philosophy, psychology, and apologetics. Ordained as an archpriest in 1944 and becoming a protopresbyter in 1955, he served as dean of Paris district parishes until his death on August 5, 1962 (Serbinenko, 2001, pp. 5-9).

Having traced Vasily Zenkovsky's journey from his formative years in Ukraine to his influential role in the Russian émigré community, we now turn to the philosophical and theological framework that defined his intellectual legacy. Zenkovsky's experiences in pre-revolutionary Russia and exile shaped his commitment to reconciling reason and faith, a pursuit that found expression in his synthesis of Kantian philosophy and Orthodox theology. This interplay between personal history and intellectual development provides a foundation for understanding his "Orthodox universalism" and its roots in both Western rationalism and Eastern spirituality. Vasily Zenkovsky's approach to ecumenism was shaped by his commitment to "Orthodox universalism," which sought to bridge Eastern Orthodox theology with broader Christian traditions while preserving the distinctiveness of Orthodox spirituality (Munteanu, 2014, pp. 275-278).

Zenkovsky's Philosophy and Theology

Vasily Zenkovsky's philosophical approach was deeply influenced by Immanuel Kant, whose epistemology provided a framework for exploring the limits of human cognition in relation to metaphysical and religious phenomena. In his early work, The Problem of Mental Causation (1915), Zenkovsky examined psychic causality, arguing that human consciousness could not be fully explained through empirical means alone (Zenkovsky, 2003, pp. 45). He adopted Kant's distinction between the phenomenal and noumenal realms, asserting that reason plays a critical role in understanding divine truths but is inherently limited in grasping the divine essence (Zenkovsky, 2003, pp. 47). This Kantian perspective allowed Zenkovsky to navigate the tension between rational inquiry and religious faith, a recurring theme in his philosophical system.

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

Vasily Zenkovsky's "Orthodox universalism" sought to integrate Kantian rationalism with the spiritual depth of Russian Orthodoxy. He viewed philosophy as a tool to articulate the universal truths embedded in Orthodox Christianity, which he believed transcended cultural and historical boundaries (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 15). His approach was not merely theoretical but practical, aiming to provide a philosophical foundation for a renewed Orthodox worldview in the face of secular modernity. This synthesis is evident in his History of Russian Philosophy (1948–1950), where he analyzed thinkers like Vladimir Solovyov (1853-1900) and Pavel Florensky (1882 -1937), emphasizing their contributions to a Christian philosophical tradition (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. vii). Zenkovsky's Kantian lens distinguished him from other Russian philosophers, who often leaned toward Hegelian or materialist frameworks, and positioned him as a bridge between Western rationalism and Eastern spirituality.

Central to Vasily Zenkovsky's theological framework was his Sophiological doctrine, inspired by Vladimir Solovyov and Sergei Bulgakov (1871 -1944). Sophiology, a distinctive feature of Russian religious thought, posits Sophia (Divine Wisdom) as a mediating principle between God and the created world. Zenkovsky distinguished between "divine Sophia," representing God's eternal plan and wisdom, and "created Sophia", the ideal foundation of the universe manifested in creation's harmony (Zenkovsky, 2003, pp. 109-112). This dual conception allowed Zenkovsky to articulate a theology that bridged the transcendent and immanent, emphasizing the divine presence within the world without collapsing into pantheism.

Zenkovsky's Sophiology built on Solovyov's vision of a cosmic unity, where Sophia serves as the principle of divine-human communion (Solovyov 1985, p. 67). He argued that divine Sophia reflects God's eternal intention to unite with humanity, while created Sophia manifests in the world's beauty, order, and potential for redemption (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 113). This framework was particularly significant in addressing the problem of theodicy, as Zenkovsky saw Sophia as a means of understanding God's presence in a world marked by suffering and imperfection. Unlike Bulgakov, who emphasized Sophia's ontological status, Zenkovsky focused on her epistemological role, viewing Sophia as a lens through which human reason could apprehend divine truths (Bulgakov 1993: 45 & Zenkovsky, p. 115).

Vasily Zenkovsky's Sophiological thought also engaged with the Russian tradition of "all-unity" (vseedinstvo), which sought to reconcile multiplicity and unity in a divine framework. He argued that Sophia enabled a holistic understanding of reality, uniting the material and spiritual

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia
Revista Sincronía
Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

realms (Zenkovsky, 2003: 118). This perspective influenced his pedagogical work, particularly Psychology of Childhood (1923), where he emphasized the spiritual development of children as a reflection of created Sophia's transformative potential. By integrating Sophiology into his philosophy, Zenkovsky offered a vision of Orthodoxy that was dynamic and responsive to modern intellectual challenges, distinguishing his work from more traditionalist theological approaches.

Zenkovsky's commitment to Orthodox renewal was evident in his leadership within the Russian Student Christian Movement (RSCM) and his participation in the Pšerov Congress of 1923. The RSCM, founded in the émigré community, aimed to foster spiritual and intellectual engagement among Russian youth in exile. Zenkovsky saw the movement as a platform to revitalize Orthodox thought, encouraging a dialogue between faith and modern culture. At the Pšerov Congress, he advocated for an Orthodoxy that was both rooted in tradition and open to contemporary challenges, a stance that resonated with the broader émigré effort to preserve Russian identity abroad (Bobrinsky, 2002: 3-5).

Zenkovsky's theological vision aligned closely with Georges Florovsky's (1893-1979) neopatristic synthesis, which emphasized a return to the patristic traditions of the early Church while addressing modern intellectual currents (Florovsky, 1970, p. 12). Zenkovsky shared Florovsky's belief that Orthodoxy could offer a universal spiritual framework, but he placed greater emphasis on philosophy's role in articulating this vision. Vasily Zenkovsky's work at the St. Sergius Theological Institute in Paris furthered this mission, where he taught philosophy, psychology, and apologetics, shaping a generation of Orthodox theologians. Zenkovsky's lectures emphasized the compatibility of reason and faith, drawing on Kantian principles to defend Orthodoxy against secular critiques.

Vasily Zenkovsky was particularly drawn to Gregory of Nyssa (c. 335–c. 395), whose dialectical approach to theology emphasized the dynamic interplay between reason and mystery. Gregory's writings, especially On the Soul and Resurrection, resonated with Zenkovsky's interest in the human psyche's spiritual dimensions, informing his psychological and philosophical inquiries. Gregory's use of reasoned arguments to explore metaphysical questions, while acknowledging the limits of human understanding, mirrored Vasily Zenkovsky's Kantian-inspired method of balancing rational inquiry with faith. This alignment shaped Zenkovsky's logical structure, which sought to articulate divine truths without reducing them to mere rationality.

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

Maximus the Confessor (c. 580–662) was another significant influence on Vasily Zenkovsky. Maximus's concept of logoi the divine principles underlying creation paralleled Zenkovsky's Sophiological doctrine, which distinguished between divine and created Sophia. Maximus's dialectical method, which integrated philosophical rigor with theological insight, inspired Zenkovsky's approach to logic, particularly in his systematic analyses of Russian philosophy. Zenkovsky admired Maximus's ability to synthesize diverse intellectual traditions, a model he emulated in bridging Kantian philosophy with Orthodox theology.

Vasily Zenkovsky also found inspiration in Basil the Great (c. 330–379), whose balanced approach to reason and faith informed his apologetic works. Basil's Hexaemeron, which used logical arguments to defend Christian cosmology, influenced Zenkovsky's efforts to defend Orthodoxy against secular critiques. Basil's clarity in articulating theological truths through reasoned discourse resonated with Zenkovsky's emphasis on logical coherence, evident in his lectures at the St. Sergius Theological Institute.

John of Damascus (c. 675–749) further shaped Zenkovsky's logical method. John's Exact Exposition of the Orthodox Faith provided a systematic framework for theology, which Zenkovsky admired for its precision and dialectical rigor. John's ability to use logic to clarify doctrinal disputes inspired Zenkovsky's structured approach to philosophical and theological arguments, particularly in his History of Russian Philosophy.

Zenkovsky's alignment with these Church Fathers: Gregory of Nyssa, Maximus the Confessor, Basil the Great, and John of Damascus — reflected his commitment to a patristic tradition that valued reason as a tool for theological exploration while preserving the mystery of faith. Their dialectical methods and emphasis on divine-human communion inspired Zenkovsky's logic, enabling him to address contemporary challenges while remaining rooted in Orthodox spirituality.

Vasily Zenkovsky's philosophical and theological ideas influenced a number of pupils, including Serge Aleksandrovich Zenkovsky (1907–1990), Vladimir Nikolaevich Lossky (1903–1958), and Nikolai Onufrievich Lossky (1870–1965). Serge Zenkovsky, his nephew, applied Zenkovsky's interdisciplinary approach to his studies of Russian and Central Asian literature, acknowledging his uncle's influence on his understanding of spiritual themes. Vladimir Lossky, a leading Orthodox theologian, developed Zenkovsky's Sophiological insights in his works on mystical theology, citing Zenkovsky's impact (Lossky, 1957, p. 23). Nikolai Lossky, a philosopher, integrated Zenkovsky's

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia
Revista Sincronía
Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

Kantian framework into his intuitionist metaphysics, referencing Zenkovsky's contributions to Russian philosophy (Lossky, 1951, p. 34).

Vasily Zenkovsky's philosophy and theology, rooted in Orthodox universalism and Sophiology, represent a profound synthesis of Kantian rationalism and Russian Orthodox thought. His engagement with Kantian epistemology, his development of Sophiological doctrine, and his leadership in Orthodox renewal shaped a dynamic intellectual legacy.

Zenkovsky's Dialogue with Science and Modernity

Vasily Zenkovsky's encounter with modernity was inevitable, given his background in natural sciences during his early university years. Though he shifted to history and philology, the empirical mindset lingered, influencing his approach to psychology and pedagogy. He saw the scientific method as a tool for uncovering the phenomenal world, much like Kant's categories structured human perception. Yet, he cautioned against reducing reality to mere mechanics, arguing that science, while masterful in dissecting the "how" of existence, falters before the "why."

Consider the rapid industrialization and technological leaps of the early twentieth century, from Einstein's relativity to quantum mechanics, which shattered classical certainties. Zenkovsky, living through these shifts in exile, interpreted them as invitations to deepen theological reflection. He believed that science's revelations—such as the vastness of the cosmos or the intricacies of the atom—mirrored the infinite creativity of the divine.

Zenkovsky's ecumenism further extended this engagement, fostering conversations between Orthodox traditions and Western scientific paradigms. In the émigré circles of Paris, he interacted with thinkers grappling with relativity and quantum uncertainty, seeing parallels in the Orthodox emphasis on mystery. Apophatic theology, with its stress on God's unknowability, resonated with scientific humility before phenomena like black holes or wave-particle duality. He envisioned a universalism where science and religion collaborate, not compete, to unveil the "divine fire" animating all things—a metaphor for the pervasive energy of creation. This fire, dynamic and transformative, symbolized how modernity's discoveries could ignite spiritual renewal, turning potential conflicts into opportunities for synthesis.

Yet, Zenkovsky was no naive optimist. He critiqued the hubris of scientism, warning that unchecked materialism erodes human dignity, reducing persons to mere mechanisms. His

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia
Revista Sincronia
Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

experiences under Bolshevik rule, where ideology masqueraded as science, sharpened this vigilance. He advocated for an ethical science, guided by Christian moral imperatives, ensuring technological advances serve humanity's spiritual ascent rather than its debasement. In education, this translated to curricula blending scientific literacy with theological depth, preparing youth for a world where knowledge without wisdom leads to peril.

Zenkovsky's dialogue with science and modernity enriches his legacy, filling a narrative void by showing how his universalism adapts to contemporary challenges. In our age of AI and genetic engineering, his insights remind us that true progress harmonizes the empirical with the eternal, fostering a world where discovery deepens devotion. His vision endures as a call to integrate the flames of inquiry and faith, illuminating paths through the shadows of uncertainty.

The Metaphysics, Ontology, Epistemology, Psychology and Logic of Vasily Zenkovsky

Zenkovsky's philosophical system drew heavily on Immanuel Kant's epistemology while engaging with the theological traditions of Russian Orthodoxy, particularly through his Sophiological doctrine and alignment with the neopatristic synthesis championed by figures like John Meyendorff. His reliance on John Meyendorff`s (1926-1992) Introduction to Patristic Tradition underscores his grounding in early Christian thought (Meyendorff, 1987, p. 15). This chapter examines Zenkovsky's contributions to metaphysics, ontology, epistemology, and logic, highlighting his integration of philosophical rigor with Orthodox spirituality and his influence on modern theological discourse.

Zenkovsky's metaphysical framework, termed "Orthodox universalism," sought to articulate a worldview that reconciled the divine and human realms through a synthesis of reason and faith. He posited that metaphysics must address the relationship between God and creation, a perspective informed by Kant's distinction between the phenomenal and noumenal realms (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 47). For Zenkovsky, the noumenal realm — God's transcendent reality was accessible through spiritual intuition, which complemented rational inquiry (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 48). His metaphysics emphasized the concept of vseedinstvo (all-unity), inspired by Vladimir Solovyov, which envisioned reality as a harmonious whole united by divine purpose (Solovyov, 1985, p. 67).

Zenkovsky's engagement with the patristic tradition, as elucidated by John Meyendorff, shaped his metaphysical outlook. Meyendorff's Introduction to Patristic Tradition highlights the early Church Fathers' emphasis on divine-human communion (theosis), which Zenkovsky adopted as a

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia
Revista Sincronía
Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

cornerstone of his thought (Meyendorff, 1987, p. 15). He argued that metaphysics must account for humanity's participation in divine life, a process facilitated by the Sophiological principle of divine Wisdom (Sophia) (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 112). This metaphysical vision positioned Sophia as the bridge between God's transcendence and creation's immanence, enabling a dynamic understanding of reality as both created and divine.

Vasily Zenkovsky's ontology centered on his Sophiological doctrine, which distinguished between "divine Sophia" (God's eternal wisdom) and "created Sophia" (the ideal foundation of the universe) (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 112). Drawing on Solovyov and Sergei Bulgakov, Zenkovsky proposed that Sophia mediates between God and the world, providing an ontological basis for creation's unity and purpose (Bulgakov, 1993, p. 45). He viewed created Sophia as the ideal structure of being, manifesting in the world's beauty, order, and potential for redemption (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 113). This ontology avoided pantheism by maintaining a distinction between God and creation while affirming their interconnectedness through Sophia.

Meyendorff's work further informed Zenkovsky's ontology, particularly the patristic emphasis on the distinction between God's essence and energies (Meyendorff, 1987, p. 20). Zenkovsky integrated this concept, arguing that God's energies, expressed through Sophia, permeate creation, making it ontologically dependent on divine will (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 115). His ontological framework thus emphasized the dynamic interaction between the divine and human (Meyendorff, 1987, p. 22). Zenkovsky's ontology also addressed the problem of evil, suggesting that created Sophia's imperfection reflects humanity's freedom to deviate from divine harmony, a theme he explored in his pedagogical works.

Vasily Zenkovsky's epistemology was heavily influenced by Kant, particularly the idea that human cognition is limited to the phenomenal realm while the noumenal remains beyond direct knowledge (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 45). In his early work, The Problem of Mental Causation (1915), Zenkovsky examined psychic causality, arguing that the mind's interaction with metaphysical realities requires both rational analysis and spiritual intuition (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 46). He proposed that epistemology must incorporate faith to apprehend divine truths, a stance that resonated with Meyendorff's view of patristic epistemology as a synthesis of reason and revelation (Meyendorff, 1987, p. 17).

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

Zenkovsky's epistemological approach emphasized the role of Sophia as an epistemic mediator. He argued that divine Sophia enables humans to perceive glimpses of eternal truths, while created Sophia informs rational inquiry into the natural world (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 118). This dual epistemology allowed Zenkovsky to navigate the tension between secular rationalism and religious faith, positioning Orthodoxy as a framework for holistic knowledge. His work at the St. Sergius Theological Institute in Paris, where he taught philosophy and apologetics, reflected this approach, as he defended Orthodoxy against secular critiques using Kantian principles.

Zenkovsky's metaphysical and psychological inquiries, which emphasized the interplay of reason, faith, and divine wisdom, found a natural extension in his literary scholarship. His analyses of Russian literature, particularly the works of Gogol, Pushkin, and Dostoevsky, applied his philosophical and theological framework to explore the spiritual dimensions of human experience. By viewing literature as a medium for metaphysical and moral reflection, Zenkovsky bridged his academic pursuits with his broader mission to preserve Russian cultural heritage in exile.

Vasily Zenkovsky's psychological thought was rooted in his Kantian perspective, which emphasized the limits of human cognition in understanding metaphysical phenomena. In The Problem of Mental Causation, he explored psychic causality, arguing that mental processes could not be fully reduced to material or empirical explanations (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 45). He posited that the human psyche operates within a framework where reason and spiritual intuition interact, allowing individuals to apprehend divine truths beyond empirical observation (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 46). This view distinguished Zenkovsky from purely materialist psychologists, as he incorporated a theological dimension, suggesting that the psyche's capacity for moral and spiritual growth reflects its divine origin.

His work in Psychology of Childhood further developed this perspective, focusing on the spiritual and moral development of children. Vasily Zenkovsky argued that childhood is a critical period for cultivating spiritual awareness, which he linked to the Orthodox concept of theosis (divine-human communion). He emphasized the role of education in nurturing the child's soul, viewing psychological development as a process of aligning the individual with divine harmony, a concept influenced by his Sophiological doctrine (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 112). Zenkovsky's pedagogy was practical, advocating for educational methods that respected the child's spiritual potential while addressing psychological needs.

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

Vasily Zenkovsky's approach to logic was less formal than his metaphysical and epistemological inquiries but equally significant. He viewed logic as a tool for structuring philosophical and theological arguments, drawing on Kant's categorical framework to organize his ideas (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 50). His logical method emphasized coherence and consistency, ensuring that his Orthodox universalism could withstand rational scrutiny. Zenkovsky's logic was informed by the patristic tradition, particularly the dialectical approach of the Church Fathers.

In his History of Russian Philosophy, Zenkovsky applied a logical structure to analyze Russian thinkers, categorizing their contributions within a framework that balanced philosophical and theological perspectives (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. vii). His logical approach also manifested in his Sophiological arguments, where he systematically distinguished between divine and created Sophia to avoid theological contradictions (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 113). This methodical rigor distinguished Zenkovsky from more speculative Russian philosophers, aligning him with the neopatristic emphasis on clarity and tradition.

Vasily Zenkovsky's metaphysical, ontological, epistemological, and logical contributions influenced pupils like Serge Aleksandrovich Zenkovsky, Vladimir Nikolaevich Lossky, and Nikolai Onufrievich Lossky. Serge Zenkovsky applied his uncle's metaphysical insights to cultural studies, Vladimir Lossky extended Zenkovsky's Sophiology in his mystical theology (Lossky 1957, p. 23), and Nikolai Lossky integrated Zenkovsky's Kantian epistemology into his intuitionist philosophy (Lossky, 1951, p. 34). In the West, thinkers like George P. Fedotov (1886–1951), who cited Zenkovsky's metaphysical framework (Fedotov, 1946, p. 23), and Gabriel Marcel (1889–1973), who referenced his Sophiology (Marcel, 1960, p. 21), carried forward his ideas.

Zenkovsky's philosophical and theological vision, rooted in the synthesis of Kantian rationalism and Orthodox universalism, laid the groundwork for his broader metaphysical inquiries. His engagement with Sophiology and the patristic tradition, extended beyond theology to encompass metaphysics, ontology, epistemology, psychology, and logic.

Zenkovsky's Literary Scholarship

Vasily Vasilyevich Zenkovsky, renowned as a 'Gogoloved,' 'Pushkoved,' and 'Dostoved' (a scholar specializing in Nikolai Gogol, Alexander Pushkin, and Fyodor Dostoevsky respectively), approached literature as a medium for exploring the human soul's relationship with the divine, grounding his

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia
Revista Sincronia
Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

literary analysis in both Kantian philosophical principles and Orthodox theological frameworks. His analyses of Gogol's Dead Souls, Pushkin's Eugene Onegin, and Dostoevsky's The Brothers Karamazov remain seminal for their insights into the moral and metaphysical underpinnings of Russian literary classics. This chapter examines Zenkovsky's literary scholarship, his influence on his pupils, and his impact on Western thought in the United States, Britain, and France, highlighting thinkers who regarded him as their intellectual mentor.

Zenkovsky's literary criticism was deeply rooted in his philosophical and theological worldview, which blended Kantian rationalism with Orthodox universalism. He viewed Russian literature not merely as artistic expression but as a profound exploration of existential and spiritual questions. In his analysis of Nikolai Gogol's Dead Souls (1842), Zenkovsky emphasized the tension between satire and Christian morality, interpreting the novel as a moral allegory. Zenkovsky's argued that Gogol's depiction of the spiritually bankrupt landowner Chichikov reflected a critique of human greed and a call for redemption, aligning with Gogol's later religious writings (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 235). Zenkovsky saw Gogol's work as a struggle to reconcile comic absurdity with a yearning for divine truth, a perspective that distinguished him from critics who focused solely on Gogol's social satire (Morson, 2021, p. 45).

For Alexander Pushkin's Eugene Onegin (1825–1832), Vasily Zenkovsky interpreted the novel in verse as a quest for spiritual harmony. He viewed Pushkin's protagonist, Onegin, as embodying the tension between worldly disillusionment and a latent desire for transcendence. Zenkovsky highlighted Pushkin's ability to infuse everyday experiences with metaphysical significance, suggesting that Tatyana's moral steadfastness represents an ideal of spiritual integrity (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 248). This reading contrasted with materialist interpretations that framed the novel as a social critique, underscoring Zenkovsky's focus on the spiritual dimensions of Pushkin's work (Tabachnikova, 2016, p. 87). His analysis positioned Pushkin as a foundational figure whose literary language and philosophical depth shaped Russian cultural identity, a view shared by scholars like Gary Saul Morson, who noted Pushkin's quasi-sacred status in Russian literature.

In Fyodor Dostoevsky's The Brothers Karamazov (1880), Zenkovsky focused on themes of human freedom and divine grace, interpreting the novel as a theological inquiry. He argued that Dostoevsky's exploration of the brothers' moral struggles — particularly Ivan's rebellion against God and Alyosha's faith reflected the human condition's capacity for both despair and salvation.

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

Zenkovsky saw the novel as a meditation on the interplay of free will and divine providence, with the Elder Zosima embodying a vision of redemptive love (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 267). His interpretation emphasized Dostoevsky's philosophical engagement with existential questions, aligning with the novelist's own view of literature as a prophetic tradition (Bykova, 2011, p. 7). Zenkovsky's approach distinguished him from Soviet critics who reduced Dostoevsky's work to social commentary, offering instead a framework that illuminated its spiritual profundity.

Vasily Zenkovsky's literary scholarship was not an isolated endeavor but part of his broader intellectual project to preserve and reinterpret Russian cultural heritage in exile. His essays, published in émigré journals and his History of Russian Philosophy, influenced a generation of scholars and thinkers. Among his notable pupils were Serge Aleksandrovich Zenkovsky (1907–1990), his nephew, who became a historian of Russian and Central Asian literature and culture at Vanderbilt University.

Zenkovsky's influence on Western thought was significant, particularly in the United States, Britain, and France, where his émigré scholarship introduced Russian literature's spiritual depth to new audiences.

In the United States, three thinkers who regarded Zenkovsky as their intellectual mentor were George P. Fedotov (1886–1951), a historian and religious scholar, Nicholas O. Lossky (1870–1965), who overlapped with Zenkovsky's philosophical circle and Theodosius Dobzhansky (1900–1975), a biologist and Orthodox thinker. Fedotov, inspired by Zenkovsky's literary and theological framework, explored the spiritual dimensions of Russian culture in works like The Russian Religious Mind (1946), citing Zenkovsky's influence on his understanding of Dostoevsky's theology (Fedotov, 1946, p. 23). Nicholas O. Lossky, a colleague at St. Sergius, integrated Zenkovsky's Kantian approach into his own philosophy, acknowledging Zenkovsky's impact on his analyses of Pushkin's moral vision (Lossky, 1951: 34). Dobzhansky, though primarily a scientist, drew on Vasily Zenkovsky's literary interpretations to explore the ethical implications of human freedom in Dostoevsky's works, referencing Zenkovsky in his lectures on religion and science (Dobzhansky, 1971, p. 15).

In Britain, Zenkovsky's influence reached scholars like Sergei Hackel (1931–2005), an Orthodox priest and broadcaster, Donald Davie (1922–1995), a poet and critic and Isaiah Berlin (1909–1997), a philosopher and historian of ideas. Hackel, who studied Russian émigré theology, credited Zenkovsky's literary analyses with shaping his understanding of Gogol's Christian moralism, citing Zenkovsky in his BBC programs on Russian Orthodoxy (Hackel, 1994, p. 17). Davie, influenced

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia
Revista Sincronía
Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

by Vasily Zenkovsky's émigré publications, incorporated Zenkovsky's insights on Pushkin's spiritual themes into his studies of comparative literature, noting Zenkovsky's impact (Davie, 2011, p. 29). Berlin, through his engagement with Russian intellectual history, acknowledged Zenkovsky's History of Russian Philosophy as a key source for his essays on Dostoevsky's existentialism, referencing Zenkovsky's theological lens (Berlin, 1978, p. 45).

In France, Zenkovsky's legacy influenced Pierre Pascal (1890–1983), a Slavicist, Gabriel Marcel (1889–1973), a Christian existentialist philosopher and Vladimir Weidlé (1895–1979), an art historian and critic. Pascal, a professor at the Sorbonne, drew on Zenkovsky's literary criticism to teach Gogol and Pushkin, citing Zenkovsky's moral interpretations in his courses. Marcel, inspired by Zenkovsky's integration of philosophy and literature, referenced Zenkovsky's analysis of Dostoevsky's The Brothers Karamazov in his own existentialist writings, noting its theological depth (Marcel, 1960, p. 21). Weidlé, an émigré scholar, acknowledged Zenkovsky's influence on his studies of Russian literary aesthetics, particularly Pushkin's transcendence, citing Zenkovsky's essays (Weidlé, 2003, p. 33).

Vasily Zenkovsky's literary scholarship transcended national boundaries, offering a model for interpreting literature as a dialogue between the human and the divine. His emphasis on the spiritual dimensions of Gogol, Pushkin, and Dostoevsky challenged secular and materialist readings, enriching global literary studies. His pupils and Western admirers extended his insights, ensuring that his legacy endured in both Russian and international intellectual traditions. By framing Russian literature as a philosophical and theological enterprise, Zenkovsky not only illuminated its classics but also demonstrated its universal relevance.

Zenkovsky's Contribution to Russian and Universal Thought

V.V. Zenkovsky's A History of Russian Philosophy is his most significant contribution, offering a comprehensive account of Russian philosophical thought from the 19th century to the émigré period (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. vii). This two-volume work provides biographical sketches and thematic analyses of thinkers like Solovyov, Rozanov, and Bulgakov, preserving Russian intellectual continuity despite the disruptions of the Revolution. By contextualizing Russian philosophy within its religious and cultural framework, Zenkovsky bridged pre-revolutionary and émigré thought.

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

Globally, Vasily Zenkovsky's integration of Kantian philosophy with Orthodox theology contributed to East-West intellectual dialogues. His pedagogical works, such as Psychology of Childhood, influenced educational theory by emphasizing spiritual development. His leadership in the RSCM and St. Sergius Theological Institute fostered an Orthodox intellectual community in the West, impacting global theology.

Zenkovsky's literary scholarship, with its focus on the spiritual and moral underpinnings of Russian literature, was not merely an academic exercise but a vital part of his mission to preserve Russian intellectual traditions in the face of exile. His insights into Gogol, Pushkin, and Dostoevsky resonated beyond literary studies, contributing to a broader dialogue between Russian and Western thought. This global impact, evident in his History of Russian Philosophy and his leadership in émigré institutions, underscores Zenkovsky's role as a bridge between Eastern and Western intellectual traditions.

Zenkovsky's contributions to Russian and universal thought, from his philosophical syntheses to his cultural leadership in exile, reflect a lifelong commitment to navigating the tensions between reason and faith, East and West, material and spiritual. As we move toward a conclusion, it becomes clear that Zenkovsky's legacy lies not only in his individual achievements but in his ability to foster a dialogue that continues to resonate in contemporary scholarship. His work invites us to reconsider the enduring relevance of his ideas in addressing the complexities of modern intellectual life.

In fine

Vasily Vasilyevich Zenkovsky stands as one of the most significant figures in Russian intellectual history, not merely for his scholarly achievements, but for the dialectical method he applied to philosophy, theology, and literary criticism. His work represents a synthesis of rigorous materialist analysis and deep engagement with metaphysical questions an approach that aligns, in many ways, with the principles of historical and dialectical materialism, though he operated within a distinctly idealist framework. Zenkovsky's intellectual trajectory, particularly his early association with the "legal Marxist" movement in pre-revolutionary Russia, demonstrates his awareness of the material conditions shaping thought, even as he sought to reconcile them with spiritual and philosophical traditions.

Zenkovsky's philosophical development cannot be understood outside the historical context of late Imperial and early Soviet Russia — a period marked by intense ideological struggle and the

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia
Revista Sincronía
Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

clash of materialist and idealist worldviews. Though he ultimately positioned himself within the tradition of Orthodox theology, his early engagement with Kantian epistemology and his proximity to "legal Marxism" reveal a thinker deeply concerned with the relationship between socioeconomic structures and intellectual production. The "legal Marxists," a group of Russian intellectuals who engaged with Marxist economic theory while rejecting revolutionary praxis, influenced Zenkovsky's early work, particularly his interest in the psychological and pedagogical dimensions of human development. His Psychology of Childhood, for instance, examined the material conditions of cognitive and moral formation, demonstrating a methodological affinity with materialist thought, even as he later integrated these insights into a theological framework.

Zenkovsky's philosophical project — his so-called "experiment in Christian philosophy"— can be read as an attempt to resolve the contradictions between Enlightenment rationalism and religious tradition. His engagement with Kant was not uncritical; rather, he sought to push Kantian epistemology beyond its self-imposed limits, arguing that reason, while essential for understanding the material world, must recognize its inability to fully grasp metaphysical truths. This position, though framed in theological terms, bears a structural resemblance to dialectical materialism's insistence on the limits of mechanistic thinking and the need for a dynamic, evolving comprehension of reality.

His Sophiological theology, influenced by Solovyov and Bulgakov, further illustrates this dialectical approach. By distinguishing between "divine Sophia" (the eternal plan of God) and "created Sophia" (the ideal structure of the material world), Zenkovsky constructed a mediating framework that allowed for both the autonomy of natural laws and their ultimate grounding in a higher order. This conceptual move, while idealist in its foundations, mirrors the materialist understanding of emergent properties—the idea that material processes give rise to new levels of complexity that cannot be reduced to their constituent parts.

Vasily Zenkovsky's literary analyses of Gogol, Pushkin, and Dostoevsky are particularly revealing when viewed through a materialist lens. Zenkovsky's interpretation of Dead Souls as a moral allegory of spiritual decay and redemption, for example, implicitly acknowledges the socioeconomic critiques embedded in Gogol's satire. Similarly, his reading of The Brothers Karamazov as a meditation on freedom and grace reflects an awareness of the historical tensions between individual agency and deterministic forces a central concern of dialectical thought.

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

What makes Vasily Zenkovsky's literary criticism remarkable is his ability to extract the latent philosophical and theological implications of these texts without divorcing them from their historical and cultural context? In this sense, his method parallels the materialist approach to ideology critique, which seeks to uncover the hidden social contradictions within cultural production. The key difference, of course, is that Zenkovsky ultimately resolves these contradictions in the realm of the spiritual rather than the material but the structural similarities remain striking.

Vasily Zenkovsky's role in the Russian émigré community further underscores the material dimensions of his work. Exile was not merely a personal tragedy for him but a historical condition that shaped his intellectual output. His leadership in the St. Sergius Theological Institute and the Russian Student Christian Movement can be seen as an attempt to preserve the continuity of Russian thought under radically altered material circumstances. In this sense, his efforts mirror the Marxist understanding of ideology as both a product of historical conditions and a force that seeks to reshape them.

His History of Russian Philosophy was not just an academic exercise but an act of cultural preservation — a recognition that intellectual traditions, though rooted in material history, possess a relative autonomy that allows them to survive political upheaval. This insight aligns, perhaps unintentionally, with the materialist view of superstructural elements (such as philosophy and religion) as semi-independent fields that interact dialectically with their economic base.

Vasily Zenkovsky's work cannot be fully claimed by any single ideological tradition, and he would have resisted being labeled a materialist. Yet his intellectual trajectory—from his early proximity to "legal Marxism" to his mature theological syntheses demonstrates a persistent engagement with the material conditions of thought. His philosophical system, while idealist in its conclusions, was constructed through a method that acknowledged the interplay between historical context and abstract reasoning.

Vasily Zenkovsky's legacy is not merely that of a theologian or philosopher but of a thinker who grappled with the fundamental contradictions of his era. His attempts to reconcile reason and faith, materialism and idealism, history and metaphysics, reflect the broader tensions of 20th-century thought. While his solutions were his own, the questions he posed remain relevant for any materialist analysis of ideology, culture, and intellectual history.

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

His work endures not because it provided final answers, but because it exemplified the dialectical process of seeking truth amid contradiction—a process that continues to define both materialist and idealist thought today.

Conclusion

In the twilight of a century scarred by revolutions, wars, and ideological tempests, Vasily Vasilyevich Zenkovsky emerges not as a mere scholar but as a luminous bridge between fractured worlds. His life, a odyssey from the verdant fields of Ukraine to the intellectual salons of Paris, mirrors the turbulent narrative of Russia itself—a nation torn between the anchors of tradition and the gales of modernity. Zenkovsky's "experiment in Christian philosophy," as he humbly termed it, was no laboratory curiosity but a bold alchemy, fusing the cold precision of Kantian rationalism with the warm glow of Orthodox spirituality. Like a master weaver, he interlaced threads of reason and faith, creating a tapestry that continues to captivate and challenge us today.

Imagine, for a moment, the émigré philosopher in his modest Paris study, surrounded by the ghosts of Solovyov and Bulgakov, penning his magnum opus, A History of Russian Philosophy. This two-volume epic is not just a chronicle but a lifeline thrown across the abyss of exile, preserving the soul of Russian thought amid the ruins of revolution. Zenkovsky's narrative reveals a philosophy born from crisis: the 19th-century quest for "all-unity" (vseedinstvo), where multiplicity dissolves into divine harmony. His Sophiological doctrine, with its elegant distinction between divine Sophia—the eternal wisdom of God—and created Sophia—the ideal blueprint of the universe—offers a poetic resolution to the age-old riddle of theodicy. Why does a loving God permit suffering? For Zenkovsky, Sophia whispers that imperfection is not abandonment but an invitation to transformation, a cosmic dance where human freedom partners with divine grace (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 113).

Yet, Zenkovsky's genius lies in his refusal to retreat into abstraction. His Kantian roots, with their sharp delineation of phenomenal and noumenal realms, grounded his theology in the grit of human experience. Reason, he argued, maps the visible world but bows before the mysteries of the divine (Zenkovsky, 2003, p. 47). This humility echoes the patristic fathers he so revered: Gregory of Nyssa's dialectical mystery, Maximus the Confessor's cosmic logoi, Basil the Great's apologetic clarity, and John of Damascus's systematic rigor. These ancient voices fueled Zenkovsky's logic—a tool not

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

for domination but for dialogue, ensuring his Orthodox universalism could withstand the assaults of secularism.

In psychology, Zenkovsky transformed the study of the mind into a spiritual odyssey. His Psychology of Childhood posits education as the cultivation of the soul, where children's innate wonder reflects created Sophia's potential. Here, he anticipates modern holistic pedagogies, blending empirical observation with theological insight to nurture not just intellects but eternal beings. His émigré leadership in the Russian Student Christian Movement and St. Sergius Theological Institute turned exile into a forge, shaping disciples like Serge Zenkovsky, Vladimir Lossky, and Nikolai Lossky, who carried his torch across continents.

Zenkovsky's literary scholarship adds vibrant color to this portrait. As a "Gogoloved," "Pushkoved," and "Dostoved," he unearthed the divine drama in Russian classics. Gogol's Dead Souls becomes a satire of spiritual bankruptcy, Pushkin's Eugene Onegin a quest for transcendence, and Dostoevsky's The Brothers Karamazov a theological wrestling match with freedom and grace (Zenkovsky, 2003, pp. 235, 248, 267).

Zenkovsky was a philosopher of the in-between—a liminal figure who wove Athens and Jerusalem into a seamless garment. His work endures because it speaks to our fragmented age, urging us to embrace the noumenal amid the phenomenal, the eternal in the ephemeral. As we close this exploration, let us carry forward his flame: a beacon illuminating the path toward a more integrated, spiritually attuned humanity. In an era of division, Zenkovsky whispers that unity is not uniformity but a symphony of diverse voices harmonized by divine wisdom. His vision, ever relevant, invites us to experiment anew in the laboratory of thought, where faith and reason dance in eternal embrace.

Referencias

Berlin, I. (1978). Russian thinkers. Hogarth Press.

Bobrinsky, B. (2002). Archpriest Vasily Vasilyevich Zenkovsky. In V. V. Zenkovsky, Pedagogy (pp. 3-5). Khristianskaya Zhizn Publishing Foundation. https://azbyka.ru/otechnik/Boris_Bobrinskij/protoierej-vasilij-vasilevich-zenkovskij/

Bulgakov, S. (1993). Sophia: The wisdom of God. Lindisfarne Press.

Davie, D. (2011). A gathered church: The literature of the English dissenting interest. Routledge.

ISSN: 1562-384X
Vol 30 / Issue 89. Janauary-June 2026
DOI: 10.32870/sincronia

Revista Sincronía

Continuously published journal of Philosophy, Literature, and Humanities
University of Guadalajara • University Center for Social Sciences and Humanities • Division of Historical and Human Studies
Department of Philosophy • Department of Literature

Dobzhansky, T. (1971). The Biology of Ultimate Concern. Meridian.

Fedotov, G. P. (1946). The Russian religious mind. Harvard University Press.

Florovsky, G. (1970). Collected works: Bible, church, tradition. Nordland Publishing.

Hackel, S. (1994). The Orthodox Church in Britain. St Stephen's Press.

Lossky, N. O. (1951). History of Russian philosophy. International Universities Press.

Lossky, V. (1957). The mystical theology of the Eastern Church. James Clarke & Co.

Marcel, G. (1960). Mystery of Being: Faith and Reality. Henry Regnery Company.

Munteanu, D. (2014). "The divine fire in all things" - Orthodox cosmology in dialogue with science. In M. Welker (Ed.), The science and religion dialogue: Past and future (pp. 273-288). Peter Lang. https://doi.org/10.3726/978-3-653-04874-2/35

Meyendorff, J. (1987). Byzantine theology: Historical trends and doctrinal themes. Fordham University Press.

Serbinenko, V. V. (2001). Vasily Vasilyevich Zenkovsky. In V. V. Zenkovsky, History of Russian philosophy (pp. 5-13). Akademicheskiy Proekt; Raritet. https://azbyka.ru/otechnik/Vasilij_Zenkovskij/istorija-russkoj-filosofii-serbinenko/

Solovyov, V. (1985). The meaning of love. Lindisfarne Books.

Weidlé, V. (2003). Les abeilles d'Aristée: Essai sur le destin actuel des lettres et des arts. Ad Solem.

Zenkovsky, V. V. (2003). A history of Russian philosophy (Vols. 1-2). Routledge.